Minutes No. 182 of the Executive Board Meeting held on

Wednesday, September 1, 2021, 14:00 to 17:00 CEST time (in accordance with article 21, section [1] of the RTRS Statutes).

Agenda:

1. Approval of the Executive Board meeting minutes No. 181.
2. Elections on the order of Vice-Presidents in case of replacement of the President according to Article 27(18) of RTRS Statutes.
3. (a) August 2021 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and (b) Priorities update: including update on the new RTRS team members.
4. Consideration of Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center request for a write-off of its RTRS membership fee.
5. Vacant seats within the Civil Society Organizations Constituency.
7. Analysis on pending membership applications.
8. RTRS Membership Fees and Structure.
9. Nomination of Executive Board members to be part of the Membership Working Group and analysis of key aspects.
10. Update on the “RTRS Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production.” Approval of RTRS Secretariat’s recommendations to desist with the “Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production” analysis.
12. Approval of the (i) RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0 and (ii) new Progressive Entry Level V4.0 (subject to the visuals implementation).
13. Approval of the (i) RTRS Group and Multi-site Certification Procedure for CBs V3.2, and (ii) RTRS Accreditation and Certification Procedure for Responsible Soy Production V4.3.
15. Closing.

A. Introduction

The meeting of the Executive Board of Round Table on Responsible Soy Association (RTRS) starts on Wednesday, September 1, 2021 at 14:00 CEST time.

Participants: RTRS Executive Board members:

- Gisela Regina Introvini, FAPCEN (constituency: Producers): giselaintrovini@hotmail.com
- Enrique Molas, Productores de Soja Paraguay (constituency: Producers): enriquemolasesg@gmail.com

Utoquei 29/31 8008 Zurich, Switzerland  @responsiblesoy.org  info@responsiblesoy.org
• Juan Quaine, Salentein Argentina B.V. (constituency: Producers): jquaine@salentein.com

• Giovana Baggio, The Nature Conservancy (TNC) (constituency: Civil Society Organizations): gbaggio@tnc.org

• Thomas Maddox, Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP Worldwide) (constituency: Civil Society Organizations): thomas.maddox@cdp.net

• Lieven Callewaert, Alliance4Soy, (constituency: Industry, Trade and Finance): lieven.callewaert@soy4brands.org

• Maria do Carmo Ferrante, Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A., (constituency: Industry, Trade and Finance): Maria.Ferrante@rabobank.com

• Jorn Jasper Schouten, ACT Commodities (constituency: Industry, Trade and Finance): JSchouten@actcommodities.com

• Gerhard Adam, Bayer AG, (constituency: Industry, Trade and Finance): gerhard.adam@bayer.com

• Jacobus Pienaar, Woolworths Holdings Limited South Africa, (constituency: Industry, Trade and Finance): KobusPienaar@woolworths.co.za

**RTRS Secretariat based in Zurich:**

• Ingrid Korn, RTRS Administration Officer: Ingrid.korn@responsiblesoy.org

• Evert Raymakers, RTRS’s Europe Outreach and Engagement Manager: evert.raymakers@responsiblesoy.org

• Olivia Lapaire, RTRS Membership Ambassador: olivia.lapaire@responsiblesoy.org

**RTRS Secretariat based in Buenos Aires:**

• Marcelo Visconti, RTRS Executive Director: marcelo.visconi@responsiblesoy.org

• Laura Villegas, Communications Officer: laura.villegas@responsiblesoy.org

• Daniel Kazimierski, Technical Unit Officer: daniel.kazimierski@responsiblesoy.org

• Ana Laura Andreani, Technical Unit Analyst: ana.andreani@responsiblesoy.org
Special guests invited:

- Cid Sanches, RTRS External Consultant: cidsanches64@gmail.com
- Mabel Fernández, RTRS Financial External Consultant: mabelau.fernandez@gmail.com
- Isabel Nepstad, RTRS External Consultant: isabel.nepstadl@gmail.com
- Simon Wan, RTRS External Consultant: wanxusheng@vip.163.com

Lieven Callewaert, President of the RTRS Executive Board, chairs the meeting and welcomes the participants to the Executive Board meeting. The agenda is presented, and participants are asked whether any additional topics should be included. No other topics are included. The meeting begins.

B. Quorum and proposals

Lieven Callewaert ascertains that the quorum required to validly pass resolutions has been reached. The Executive Board considers the following items on the agenda:

1. Approval of the Executive Board meeting minutes No. 181.

Minutes No. 181 are reviewed page by page.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.

The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve the Executive Board meeting minutes No. 181 (by consensus).”

2. Elections on the order of Vice-Presidents in case of replacement of the President according to Article 27(18) of RTRS Statutes.

The Executive Board members deliberate on the elections on the order of Vice-Presidents.

In view of the opinions and preferences expressed by the members of the Executive Board, the following is proposed:

i. RTRS Vice-President elect Thomas Maddox as Vice-President No. 1,

ii. RTRS Vice-President elect Jorn Schouten as Vice-President No. 2, and

iii. RTRS Vice-President elect Gisela Introvini as Vice-President No. 3.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the resolutions are approved by the Executive Board.
The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

"To elect Thomas Miles Maddox as RTRS Vice-President No. 1 (by consensus)."

"To elect Jorn Jasper Schouten as RTRS Vice-President No. 2 (by consensus)."

"To elect Gisela Regina Introvini as RTRS Vice-President No. 3 (by consensus)."

3. (a) August 2021 Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and (b) Priorities update: including update on the new RTRS team members.

The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) of July 2021 are presented to the Executive Board. An increase in RTRS certification and uptake is highlighted, as well as it is provided an overview of the foreseen growth of RTRS certified production by the end of 2021. The Executive Board members are also provided with an update on new members and RTRS certified material adopters.

Lieven Callewaert expresses the need of working on availability of RTRS certified material while keeping a good balance between RTRS certified material supply and demand.

Giovana Baggio remarks that working on an increase of the demand is relevant, but it should not be forgotten the importance of working at a field level by promoting the increase of production.

Gisela Introvini remarks that RTRS is getting an increasing visibility as one of the most efficient mechanisms to tackle deforestation from supply chains, thus it is of high importance to reinforce this added value of RTRS certification amongst producers, positioning RTRS certified material as a differentiated product to help demand rise.

Giovana Baggio agrees with Gisela Introvini’s remarks and stresses the fact that RTRS is the only certification that really assures a deforestation-free product, so it shall be of utmost importance to reinforce this attribute.

Giovana Baggio remarks the need for RTRS of having a higher visibility as the only mechanism to end deforestation and conversion. She further affirms that more producers apply these concepts and also states that RTRS added value lies not only within the market but also for producers given that RTRS certification assures zero deforestation and zero conversion.

Since no further comments or questions are posed on the subject, the 2021 RTRS Priorities are also presented to the Executive Board members.

With regards to the priority identified as “Step up organizational capabilities (building a stronger and more balanced global organization)”, it is confirmed that both, the contract with the Membership Ambassador in Switzerland, Olivia Lapaire, and the Service Agreement with the external consultant in China, Simon Wan, focused on governmental affairs, have been executed. Within the welcome round lead by Executive Board members, Olivia Lapaire and Simon Wan take the floor and introduce themselves to the Executive Board.
Afterwards, the status and achievements made so far on each of the other priorities are reported.

Based on the presentation, the Executive Board members conclude that RTRS priorities seem to be moving smoothly.

Since no further comments or questions are posed on the subject, the Executive Board members decide to move on to the next item of the agenda.

4. Consideration of Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center request for a write-off of its RTRS membership fee.

The Executive Board members are informed about the consideration of Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center request for a write-off of its membership fee.

It is explained Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center is a RTRS Member from the Civil Society Organizations constituency and the first RTRS Chinese member. As the first Chinese member, they may add value to the Association through their local network and outreach. It is additionally remarked the complexity for small associations to comply with the required documents and conditions to open a foreign exchange account to do cross border payments.

It is further added that for them it is very hard to make a payment directly to RTRS given that RTRS is not established as a legal entity in China, thus does not have a bank account to receive the payment within China.

Executive Board members are also informed that RTRS is currently running a process with KPMG in China to analyze the different options to operate in such country.

Finally, it is commented that according to Article 39.5 of RTRS Statutes, the Executive Board has the power to reduce the membership fee in particular cases if the Member or a party requesting to become a member, is not able to provide for the membership fee.

Lieven Callewaert states that given this particular case and based on KPMG remarks, he proposes to approve the Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center request for a write-off of its RTRS membership fee.

Thomas Maddox asks when Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center will be expected to pay its membership fee and it is confirmed that the write-off would only apply to 2021 membership fee.

Thomas Maddox confirms he does not see reason to object the request.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.
The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center request for a write-off of 2021 membership fee (by consensus).”

5. Vacant seats within the Civil Society Organizations Constituency.

Lieven Callewaert takes the floor and comments on the fact that there are still three vacant positions within RTRS Executive Board corresponding to the Civil Society Organizations constituency and he further remarks the importance of having full representation of such constituency.

He further mentions that there were certain discussions with the current Executive Board members of such constituency, Thomas Maddox from CDP and Giovanna Baggio from TNC, around some potential candidates which could be considered to cover the vacant seats within the Civil Society constituency. It is mentioned that the potential candidates are: (i) Vrutti based in India and former RTRS Executive Board member, and (ii) Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center new RTRS member based in China.

Thomas Maddox takes the floor and updates Executive Board members on the discussions and conversations being held so far with these two potential candidates and about their background and motivations to join the Executive Board. About Vrutti, he further comments they consider the meeting to be positive and reports that they seemed like a strong candidate to cover the vacant seat for Civil Society Organizations constituency at the Executive Board. With regard to Xinhua Children’s Food Quality Research and Development Center they commented the meeting is soon to be scheduled.

Giovana Baggio adds that both are important organizations, and it is also relevant to have India and China represented at the Executive Board.

In addition, as per the decision-making process, Giovana Baggio expresses the need to make clear the role and interests of the organizations under representation, in order to better define if they should belong to the Civil Society Organizations or Producers constituency.

Lieven Callewaert refers to the important impact Civil Society Organizations have supporting Producers to adopt sustainable agricultural practices at landscape and social level.

Giovana Baggio agrees, although stresses on the need to align and define within the Executive Board members the objectives and expectations for the Civil Society Organizations constituency within the Executive Board, given that there are plenty of environmental NGOs that could be invited to cover the vacant seats as well, and the Executive Board members shall guarantee that different type of organizations are represented.

Lieven Callewaert agrees on running a further analysis on this subject. Additionally, Lieven Callewaert updates the Executive Board members on the conversations being
held with WWF and comments certain NGOs are analyzing to create a coalition of NGOs to cover a seat at the Executive Board aiming to broadly represent the Civil Society Organizations constituency. He further says there might be more definitions on this possibility for the next Executive Board meeting.

Since further analysis is required on the subject, the Executive Board members decide to include the topic in the next Executive Board meeting agenda.


Latest Estimate II (LE2) 2021 is presented to the Executive Board members. For the benefit of the new Executive Board members, it was commented that last May 12th it was discussed and approved the Latest Estimate I (LE1), which is the name given to the first review of the RTRS 2021 budget. It is further commented that a close review of the year to go has been done and it was informed that the new Latest Estimate II (LE2) confirms the previous version without changes.

Maria Ferrante states she has been following up on the financial side and being new in the role she has received all the support needed, and confirms she does not have any comment on the LE2.

Lieven Callewaert refers to RTRS highly stable financial situation to put in force the Beyond 2020 RTRS Strategy.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.

| The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following: |
| “To approve the Latest Estimate II (LE2) 2021 (by consensus).” |

7. Analysis on pending membership applications.

The analysis on pending membership applications is presented to the Executive Board Members and it is stressed that there are four membership applications pending for approval.

Lieven Callewaert encourages RTRS Executive Board members to analyze and take a position to move forward with the membership application process.

Since no further comments or questions are posed on the subject, the Executive Board members decide to move on to the next item of the agenda.

8. RTRS Membership Fees and Structure.

Executive Board members are explained that the proposal for the new RTRS Membership Fees and Structure is in line with the “Beyond 2020” RTRS Strategy. and it is remarked that in the strategy paper it is explicitly defined that (i) to fulfill the correct implementation of the strategy, a new scheme of membership fees for Industry, Trade
and Finance (ITF) shall be in place as of January 2022, and (ii) the average fee for ITF should be 3,500 euros.

Marcelo Visconti stresses the “Beyond 2020” RTRS Strategy is the main justification and reason for the modification of the Membership Fee Structure.

It is further agreed a clear communication approach should substantiate the implementation of new Membership Fee Structure.

After the exchange of views, Executive Board members decide to increase the membership fee for ITF constituency to a flat and unique rate of 3,500 euros to keep it simple and straightforward which will be duly effective as of 2022.

Lastly, it is also discussed the role that the Membership Working Group will have once it retakes its activities which, besides of working on how to improve RTRS’ approach towards the Membership Fee Structure, it is expected that, during 2022, it will propose an adjustment to the membership fees for the Producers and Civil Society Organizations constituencies as of January 2023.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.

The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve the new RTRS membership fee structure reflecting an increase in the ITF constituency membership fee to 3,500 euros and no changes to the membership fee payable by the members of the Producers and Civil Society Organizations constituencies (by consensus).”

Since no further comments or questions are posed on the subject, Executive Board members move to the next topic to be informed.

In this respect, Executive Board members move to the proposal to approve a discount over membership fees payable by Participating Members elected as Executive Board Members.

Lieven Callewaert takes the floor and gives background of the proposal. He refers to the many companies that invest time and resources in making the Association stronger, for instance, organizations that participate in the Executive Board, and he suggests bringing something back to them and introduce a distinction, for instance a discount in the membership fee, as a kind of recognition and/or benefit for the extra effort.

After the rationale is explained, Executive Board members are informed that an analysis has been conducted in collaboration with RTRS Swiss Legal Counsel, and the conclusions are presented to them.

Giovana Baggio opines that there are several ways to support and help the Association to be stronger and further comments that if an exception is considered it should be for entities with a social or environmental component that are doing something very special on their field, but she disagrees if this is proposed for companies.
Lieven Callewaert and Jorn Schouten remark the proposal does not imply a request for a remuneration. Jorn Schouten opines the proposal can be seen as a motivation for those Members willing to join RTRS Executive Board but struggle to afford it, for instance small Civil Society organizations that could be a valuable asset for RTRS to have them as part of the Executive Board and, therefore, need an incentive.

Jacobus Pienaar takes the floor and states that although intentions are good, he would not support a proposal where Board Members benefit financially from the Association. He finally confirms he would not support such a proposal. Jorn Schouten supports Jacobus Pienaar’s statement and remarks that companies should join RTRS Executive Board without any other intentions.

Thomas Maddox gives his perspective and confirms CDP has not been involved in any other Executive Board of a non-profit organization where Executive Board members get remunerated or discounted in any way.

Maria Ferrante expresses that, although she needs to check internally the suggestion of a discount, a discount would make more sense than a remuneration. Although the way of potentially implementing these suggestions would require to be analyzed in order not to create a negative impact for RTRS or other organizations.

Jorn Schouten suggests that maybe the benefit can be analyzed for special positions, such as President, Vice-president, and Treasurer, given that these specific roles might be more time-consuming.

Gisela Introvini takes the floor and states that the participation at RTRS Executive Board has to do with working for a cause not a business. She finally states she would not support the proposal under consideration.

Enrique Molas supports Gisela Introvini’s opinion and stresses that he does not agree with the proposal of a discount since taking part as Executive Board members is to promote a cause. He further remarks the conditions and rules for participation as RTRS members and Executive Board members are already determined and those who decide to take part, accept those conditions and rules. Furthermore, he adds that Productores de Paraguay supports RTRS’ cause by paying a membership fee and contributing with time and work which is a private and individual decision.

Thomas Maddox clarifies and adds he will be uncomfortable about any form of remuneration for Civil Society Organizations constituency or for any organization, and further comments that although it is completely true it is very difficult to attract people to join this kind of time-consuming activities, he stresses such proposal would create a very ambiguous situation.

After the exchange of views, Executive Board members do not support the proposal of a discount over membership fees payable by Participating Members elected as Executive Board Members and decided not to move forward with further analysis.

9. Nomination of Executive Board members to be part of the Membership Working Group and analysis of key aspects.
In view of the limited time available for this meeting, the Executive Board members decide to postpone discussion of this matter to the next RTRS Executive Board meeting.

10. Update on the “RTRS Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production.” Approval of RTRS Secretariat’s recommendations to desist with the “Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production” analysis.

An update on the “RTRS Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production” proposed and guided during 2020-2021 by the “RTRS Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production” Working Group, is presented to the Executive Board members.

It is explained that the stepwise approach for the “RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production” was initially considered to improve scalability and reduce costs in the initial steps of the certification, being more inclusive and keeping the recognized level of assurance RTRS has. It is remarked that such Stepwise Approach would have changed the 3-year term of the RTRS Progressive Entry Level (a continuous improvement methodology RTRS developed to guide the full implementation and to be in compliance with the RTRS Production Standard) into an 8-year term.

It is further stressed that for the analysis of this potential new approach several events and variables made the Secretariat and the Working Group of the Stepwise Approach reconsider this:

• The revision of the RTRS Production Standard confirmed that not including all the indicators that are required by law or are related to it is not a possibility.

The alignment RTRS initiated with the Accountability Framework initiative (AFi) (broadly recognized by key stakeholders) would be jeopardized if RTRS moves forward with an approach that does not include the verification of all indicators that the legislation contemplate during the first years of the RTRS Progressive Entry Level.

• Moreover, AFi recommends to also include three more indicators related to grievances mechanisms to the initial audit.

• The RTRS Production Standard would not be positively benchmarked against the new FEFAC Guidelines 2021 if the law is not part of the baseline indicators, among other indicators, mainly related to water contamination, watercourses, soil quality and soil erosion, which should be verified in the first year (immediate-first step) within the certification process.

• From an internal analysis RTRS Secretariat developed, it was concluded that if all indicators related with the law would be included as an immediate-first step within the certification process, then the time of audit and costs would not be significantly reduced as was preliminary thought.

Based on the exposed update and conclusions, Executive Board members decided to approve RTRS Secretariat's recommendations to desist with the “Stepwise Approach of
the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production" and go for a new 3 year term Progressive Entry Level (PEL) which will consider AFi and FEFAC recommendations as for the immediate compliance indicators. Those indicators which require a bigger investment in time and money will be allocated as mid-term compliance indicators.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.

The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve the RTRS Secretariat’s recommendations to desist with the “Stepwise Approach of the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production” (by consensus).”


An update and a summary of the changes proposed in Annex #11.a.- Chain of Custody Standard V3.0_Draft v1 and Annex #11.b.- Chain of Custody Accreditation and Certification Procedure for Certification Bodies V4.0_Draft v1 is presented for the approval to the Executive Board.

Amongst the many changes and revisions Executive Board members are informed and advanced about, there is a new and innovative certification module the RTRS Secretariat is working on for RTRS mass balance certified material (to be defined and further developed including inputs from key stakeholders and Executive Board members: Module F-RTRS Mass Balance Zero Deforestation- Zero Conversion). It is further explained that such module would allow companies to mix RTRS certified soy with uncertified soy that is zero deforestation, zero conversion verified. It is explained that related topics such as cut-off dates, claiming and verification approaches will be further analysed and consulted.

Giovana Baggio supports the idea and stresses that from TNC experience and the many stakeholders working groups and ongoing existing initiatives they are participating, it would not be so difficult to proof zero deforestation origination.

Lieven Callewaert preliminary agrees with the approach and proposes to approve the idea to continue the investigation hereon and he additionally requests to organize specific informative separate meetings on the subject to fine-tune the knowledge of the Executive Board and going more in depth with the concept. Thomas Maddox and Maria Ferrante support the proposal and request.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.
The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve the revised (i) Chain of Custody Standard V3.0_Draft v1 and (ii) Chain of Custody Accreditation and Certification Procedure for Certification Bodies V4.0_Draft v1 (by consensus).”

12. Approval of the (i) RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0 and (ii) new Progressive Entry Level V4.0 (subject to the visuals implementation).

The highlights of the standard revision process on the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0, which took place between July 2020 and June 2021 and was conducted by the Secretariat with the support of the facilitators from BSD Consulting, are presented to the Executive Board members. It is commented that the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0 incorporates different comments and suggestions from public consultations.

A general overview of the changes to be applied in the whole RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0 is listed, including, among others:

- Inclusion of FEFAC criteria, definition, and wording to align terminologies (maintenance of machinery, for instance, uses of water and agrochemicals)
- Inclusion and alignment of definitions with Accountability Framework and adaptation of indicators according to the AFi guidance (mainly on indigenous communities)
- Adaptation of the standard to a gender balance terminology by inclusion of he/she when referring to producer/farmer and modification of requirements addressing gender issues
- Inclusion of the “Data Collection Sheet” which will allow to collect impact information according to ISEAL and environmental footprint project (with Blonk Consultants)
- Inclusion of “minimal level of conversion” allowed due to a legal requirement (at national or local level) or verifiable emergency (such as firewalls); and, moreover, the definition of “minimal level of conversion” to be in accordance with the Accountability Framework Initiative (AFI)

With regards to the “minimal level of conversion” it is informed the RTRS Production Standard V4 will allow a 5% of the total size of the farm or less, but not more than 20 hectares in total (only applies if the local law is not stricter), and it must be accompanied with a proper restoration plan which shall occur within the farm’s limits.

Giovana Baggio agrees with the approach.

It is further highlighted that the RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0 is the result of a multi-stakeholder development process, which involved representatives from the three RTRS membership constituencies, that included several public
consultation periods following internal and internationally recognized best practice guidelines (namely ISEAL compliant practices).

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.

The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve the (i) RTRS Standard for Responsible Soy Production V4.0 and (ii) new Progressive Entry Level V4.0_Draft 1 (by consensus).”

13. Approval of the (i) RTRS Group and Multi-site Certification Procedure for CBs V3.2, and (ii) RTRS Accreditation and Certification Procedure for responsible soy production V4.3.

A summary of the changes proposed in Annex #13.a, RTRS Group and Multi-site Certification Procedure for CBs V3.2, and Annex #13.b, RTRS Accreditation and Certification Procedure for responsible soy production V4.3 is presented to the Executive Board members.

Changes in RTRS Group and Multi-site Certification procedures to be verified by Certification Bodies (including a table that provides the minimum man/days for in-situ audit certifications -smallholders, medium size farms, big farms, etc.) and to improve the assurance system are listed and commented to the Executive Board members.

Lieven Callewaert comments these all seems logical changes linked to the changes approved to the RTRS Standards during today’s Executive Board meeting.

In the absence of any objections, Lieven Callewaert confirms that the Executive Board approves the resolution.

The RTRS Executive Board resolves the following:

“To approve the (i) RTRS Group and Multi-site Certification Procedure for CBs V3.2, and (ii) RTRS Accreditation and Certification Procedure for responsible soy production V4.3 (by consensus).”


The Executive Board members decide not to discuss any other business.

15. Closing.

The meeting is closed on Wednesday, September 1st, 2021 at 17:00 CEST time.

[Signatures]

Lieven Callewaert
RTRS President

Marcelo Visconti
Executive Director of RTRS