RTRS Terms of Reference V.1.0

Date: 7th of December, 2021. Produced by This document was developed by the Technical Unit of the RTRS in collaboration with E4tech in 2019. The document was formally endorsed and approved by the Executive Board Members on 6th of

The document was formally endorsed and approved by the Executive Board Members on 6th of November 2019 and approved by Written Resolution No. 2-2021 on 7th of December, 2021.





This is a public document of the Round Table on Responsible Soy Association (RTRS), for any comments regarding the content of this document or the RTRS Standard please contact the:

RTRS Technical Unit:

technical.unit@responsiblesoy.org and cc: info@responsiblesoy.org

The RTRS official languages are English, Spanish and Portuguese, however in case of any inconsistency between different versions of the same document, please refer to the Englishversion as the official one.





1 Scope

This document specifies the terms of reference of the RTRS, as well as its scope, objectives and why it is needed, in line with ISEAL Standard Setting Code (Version 6.0 - 2014).

2 Effective date

The specified procedures will become formal requirements with effect from December 7th 2021.

This document will be reviewed at least once in 5 years, or when there are changes in the ISEAL policies that this procedure follows.

3 Definitions

Consensus:	General agreement, absence of sustained opposition on substantial
	issues

Economic operators: Supply chain players eligible for RTRS certification, including:

- Soy Producers;
- Soy Processors;
- Traders;
- Transporters;
- Retailers.

<u>General Assembly:</u> Maximum decision Body in the RTRS Association, formed by all RTRS members.

- <u>Standard:</u> RTRS standards include the compulsory requirements that economic operators must comply with to receive RTRS certification, including sustainability, traceability and management requirements.
- Procedures: RTRS procedures include compulsory requirements that the RTRS Secretariat, RTRS members, RTRS Certification Bodies and RTRS Accreditation Bodies must comply with in the context of RTRS governance, standard development, assurance, trademark and claims, monitoring and evaluation.
- <u>Guidelines:</u> RTRS Guidelines are non-normative documents supporting economic operators, certification bodies, accreditation bodies and RTRS members through the implementation of the RTRS standard and certification scheme.





4 Scope of the RTRS Standard

The scope of the RTRS Standard covers the entire value chain of soybean and derived products until they reach the end-user. Economic operators covered by this standard includes:

- Soybean producers, regardless of the size of their production units;
- Processors of soybean and derived products, incl. food, feed and fuel producers;
- Traders, brokers and other intermediaries;
- Retailers of soybean-derived products, incl. food, feed and fuel.

The RTRS Standard is global by nature and may apply in any region where soybean or soybean-derived products are produced, processed, stored, traded or used.

RTRS is committed to ensure all environmental, social and economic impacts of soy production and processing are addressed, while ensuring business-friendly by minimising the burden for compliance and certification to economic operators.

5 Identification of needs

Soybean is a very widely used crop globally. Its oil is used as food ingredient or to produce biodiesel. Its meal is used as food or feed. While, North and South America (primarily Braziland Argentina) are the largest producers, soybean is grown all across the world, including India, China and Europe.

As any global commodity, soybean is primarily cultivated in large scale farms but small-scale production units exist as well. Soybean production areas and yields have constantly increased since the 1990's due to the increasing consumption of meat globally (soy meal is primarily used to feed cattle) and policy-driven increases in biodiesel consumption. Such rapid expansion carried risks of environmental and socio-economic negative impacts, which made clear the need for a framework to promote and enhance the use of good practices.

The most important sustainability issues encountered in the soy value chain included:

- Expansion of soybean areas over high biodiversity areas (esp. in the amazon forest) and other lands with environmental value;
- Unfair or hazardous working conditions;
- Lack of legality or transparency in land transactions;
- Negative impacts on soil and water resources;
- Environmental or health damages due to the use of agricultural inputs;
- Lack of benefit sharing with local communities;
- Risk of genetic contamination due to the widespread use of genetically modified soy;
- Lack of traceability in soy and soy-derived products.

While sustainable agriculture standards existed in the early 2000's (e.g. organic, fairtrade, etc.), some of the specificities of the soybean value chains required a specific global standard to be created. As a result, the Responsible Soy Forum was initiated in London in May 2004 as aplatform to promote sustainable practices in the soy value chain. After two years spent engaging with stakeholders and developing governance, the Round Table on Responsible Soy Association was launched in 2006, with the aim to create the first global standard for responsible soy production. Founding members included Grupo Maggi, Cordaid, COOP, WWF,

RTRS Terms of Reference





Fetrauf-Sul and Unilever. The RTRS pledged to follow a transparent and multistakeholderprocess for the development and continuous improvement of its standard.

As of today, other agriculture/biomass/biofuel standards may be used for certification of soybean products. Table 1 briefly describes the scope, content and governance of the main standards and certification systems, which can currently be used for soybean certification. Asshown in the table, the systems that existed at the time RTRS was created had a more limited coverage with regards to end-use (food only) and a narrower scope. Therefore, the development of a comprehensive sustainability standard, along with chain-of-custody requirements appeared necessary and legitimate when RTRS was formed in 2006.

Standar Year		Applicabilit				Geographi	Principal Sustainabilit	Governance	
dName	Creatio n	Soy productio n	Soy processin g	Food applicatio n	Fuel applicatio n	Chain of Custod y	cScope	yCoverage	
Fairtrade	1973 (Netherlands)	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Global	Fair working conditions and remuneration	Multi- stakehold er(ISEAL Member)
Organic	1972	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Global	Water/soil/ai rprotection	Multi- stakehold er(ISEAL Member)
2BSvs	2010	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Global	EU RED compliance	Mostly industry
ISCC	2011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Global	Biodiversity Legality Working Conditions Local Communities Soil/Water/Air GMOs	Industry + academi c
RSB	2007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Global	Biodiversity Legality Working Conditions Local Communities Soil/Water/Air GMOs	Multi- stakehold er(ISEAL Member)
US SSAP	2013	Yes	No	?	?	?	US Production	Biodiversity Environmen tLegal compliance	Multi- stakehold er
RTRS	2006	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Global	Biodiversity Legality Working Conditions Local Communities Soil/Water/Air GMOs	Multi- stakehold er

Table 1: Overview of standards and certification systems applicable to soybean

6 Environmental and Social outcomes

The mission of the RTRS is to ensure soybean and soy-derived products are produced in aresponsible manner to reduce social and environmental impacts while maintaining or improving the economic status for the producer.

This mission is to be achieved through:

- The development, implementation and verification of a global sustainability





standardfor soy; and

- The commitment of the stakeholders involved in the development, continuous improvement and promotion of the RTRS standard.

The expected environmental and social outcomes (mid-term) and impacts (long-term) of the RTRS Standard are:

Strategy/Activities	Intended Outcomes(Mid term)	Intended Impacts(Long term)
Development, implementation and continuous improvement of a comprehensive set of environmental and socio-economic requirements.	Promote and support the implementation of responsiblepractices throughout the soy value chain.	 Legal compliance Environmental protection Fair labor conditions Shared economic benefits (local communities)
Development, implementation and continuous improvement of traceability requirements. Development, implementation and continuous improvement ofa robust assurance system.	Ensure that RTRS- certifiedbatches of products can be tracked back to the origin. - Ensure that RTRS certificates are only delivered to operators having successfully completed the process. - Control claims and use ofother RTRS trademarks.	Build credibility and trust in theRTRS system over the nature and origin of RTRS- certified products.
Ad hoc support to more vulnerable economic operators(e.g. smallholders)	 Increase market access. Enhance the use of responsible practices to awider target. 	Improve economic conditionswidely and contribute to a poverty alleviation and empowerment instrument.
Implementation of an open, transparent and participatory standard development process.	 Increase participation of a wide range of stakeholders. Make decisions transparentand accessible to all. 	Enhance the credibility and legitimacy of RTRS standards and outputs from implementation among the private sector and civil society.
Creation and maintaining of a non-for-profit association (incl.a general assembly and executive board) for the generalmonitoring of RTRS standards,procedures and M&E System.	 Share responsibilities andliabilities. Create ownership by stakeholders of final products and outcomes. Avoid conflicts of interestover profitability. 	





7 Risk Management

The RTRS implements a risk management system in line with ISO 31000. The objectives of therisk management system are:

- To **identify** the nature of risks to the robustness, credibility and integrity of the RTRSorganization, standard and brand;
- To evaluate the likelihood and intensity of identified risks;
- To mitigate the identified risks through specific actions and measures;
- To **monitor** the effectiveness of implemented actions and measures on a regular basisand correct them if necessary.

RTRS Secretariat is accountable for the proper implementation of the Risk Management.

7.1 Risk Identification

Several categories of risks exist, which could be detrimental to the robustness, credibility and integrity of the RTRS as an organization, but also the RTRS standard and derived brand (claims). Such risks include but are not limited to:

- Non-compliance with RTRS statutes, decision-making process and other governance aspects, such as the decisions leading to the approval or modifications of key documents. Such risks may affect RTRS members and staff;
- Misrepresentation of the RTRS organization by RTRS members, staff, certified operators or certification bodies;
- Non-conformities with RTRS Standard;
- Incorrect application of audit and certification rules by certification bodies and/or accreditation bodies, leading to unresolved non-conformities and incorrect decisions regarding the attribution, suspension and/or withdrawal of RTRS certificates;
- Misuse of RTRS certificates and claims by certified operators (e.g. incorrect scope) or by non-certified operators (e.g. fake certificates, expired certificates);
- Impartiality and conflict of interest of Accreditation Bodies, Certification Bodies and auditors;
- Unintended negative consequences following the application of the RTRS Standard.

7.2 Risk Evaluation

The likelihood of the risks identified in the previous sections may vary in each case. Furthermore, the intensity of the damages caused to the robustness, credibility or integrity of the RTRS may vary. Table 2 summarizes the risks identified in relation to the implementation of the RTRS system.





Risk type	Description	Likelihood	luation (Temporary)	Remark
кізк туре	(examples)	Likelihood	Intensity	Remark
Governance	Non- compliance with statute s (e.g. decision making process) or membership rules.	Rare - Medium	Variable: - Minor deviations havelow intensity - Non-compliance with decision-making processes (e.g. voting)may have severeconsequences on the integrity of the RTRS	Some flexibility over non- essential membership rulesmay be toleratedpending justification. No deviation in the forma Idecision making process is tolerated.
Misrepresentatio n	Fallacious statement regarding th eRTRS functioning, its members, its staff, etc.	Medium	Misrepresentation may have severe consequences over the credibility and therefore the integrity of an organization.	
Incorrect application of audit procedures	CBs or auditors may not apply audit procedures and certification rules adequately or fully.	High	 Variable: Minor non- compliances(low intensity). Major non- compliances(high intensity) 	Some flexibility over minor non- compliances.
Misuse of certificates and claims	Use of fake certificates. Use of expired certificates. Wrong use of claims (e.g .inadequate scope)	Medium	Highly detrimental for the reputation and credibility ofRTRS certificates	
Impartiality and conflict of interest	ABs, CBs and auditors having conflict of interest with their clients	Rare - Medium	Highly detrimental for the reputation and credibility of RTRS certificates	

Table 2: Risk Evaluation (Temporary)





Unintended	Some	Medium	Medium	
consequenc	economic	Mediam	Mediam	
es	operators			
00	(e.g.			
	smallholders)			
	may not be			
	ableto			
	implemen			
	tgood			
	practices			
	and be			
	excludedfrom			
	markets.			
	Implementing	Medium	Medium	This is
	good	Medium	Mediam	particularlythe
	practice			case
	smay			when
	increas			implementing
	eproduction			higher
	costand			standards
	decreas			for
	ecertified			labour
	operators'			conditions (e.g.
	competitivene			wage, protective
	SS			equipment)
	Restrictions	Medium	Medium	- quipritority
	over land-	mount	modialit	
	usemay			
	reduce the			
	attractiveness			
	ofRTRS			
	certification at			
	the profit of			
	other			
	less			
	demanding			
	systems.			





7.3 Risk Mitigation

Previously identified risks will be mitigated by the following measures (Table 3).

The off of the second second	Table 3: Proposed mitigation measures
Identified Risks	Mitigation Measures
Governance	 Frequent communications with members Strict application of membership rules monitored by theExecutive Secretary and legal experts A transparent grievance system is being implemented to allow third parties to file complaints, which will be treated according to an escalation process.
Misrepresentation	 Monitoring of public statements and declarations Endorsement of code of conduct by members.
Incorrect application of RTRSaudit procedures	 Robust accreditation in place, in line with ISO standards and ISEAL Codes, including surveillance and monitoringof auditors and CBs. A transparent grievance system is being implemented to allow third parties to file complaints, which will be treated according to an escalation process.
Misuse of RTRS certificates andclaims	 Strict procedure for use of claims and trademarks Continuous monitoring of certificates through an official platform. A transparent grievance system is being implemented to allow third parties to file complaints, which will be treated according to an escalation process.
Impartiality and conflict of interest	 Strict procedure on the RTRS assurance system. Monitoring CBs and ABs work and assessment reports. Witness audits.
Unintended consequences	 Unintended consequences will be monitored through amonitoring & evaluation process (M&E), in line with ISEAL Impact Codes. Specific policies are implemented for smallholders andvulnerable stakeholders.

Table 3: Proposed mitigation measures

7.4 Risk Monitoring

The efficiency of implemented mitigation measures to reduce the identified risks will be monitored on a continuous basis by the RTRS Secretariat, who will regularly (at least annually) report to the Executive Board and General Assembly over:

- The proper implementation of membership rules and decision-making process.
- Any grievance over RTRS membership, audit procedures and misuse of RTRSclaims/trademarks.
- Recorded grievances
- Results from the M&E System.
- Audit reports from CBs and ABs.

In case implemented measures would not achieve the expected results, the Executive Board and General Assembly may decide to modify them or implement new measures in replacement.





7.5 Publications

The RTRS Secretariat will publish a risk monitoring report on an annual basis, which willinclude:

- An updated risk identification and assessment;
- A description of mitigation measures;
- An analysis of the efficiency of implemented measures and conclusions regarding theneed to modify or improve them



RTRS Technical Unit:

technical.unit@responsiblesoy.org info@responsiblesoy.org

www.responsiblesoy.org

-